Exam in Microeconomics for Phd.

NYU Economics

Lecturer: Ariel Rubisntein

Date: October 19th, 2023

Time: 09:00 - 12:00

Instructions: You are required to answer all three questions. Please use a separate notebook for each question. It is an open-book exam and you can use any written source that you wish. It is not permitted to use electronic devices.

Question 1:

Each member of a group N, consisting of n agents, is to choose a point in $X \subseteq R^K$. All of them behave rationally and every agent i has preferences that are strictly convex, continuous and differentiable over X. A dictator wants to achieve the profile of choices $(x^i)_{i \in N}$. He looks for a set Y that fulfills three conditions:

- Achievement of the following goal: For each i, the action x^i maximizes i's preferences over Y.
- Simplicity: *Y* is convex.
- Maximum freedom: No convex $Z \stackrel{\supset}{\neq} Y$ achieves the goal.

1. Show that if such a set *Y* exists then *Y* is an intersection of at most *n* half-spaces.

2. Prove that if $X = [0,1] \subset \mathfrak{N}$ then the dictator can achieve the goal that all agents will choose the same point $z \in X$.

Question 2: There is a set of presidential candidates $X = N_A \cup N_B$ where N_A is the set of candidates who belong to party A and N_B is the set of candidates who belong to party B. A voter's choice function *C* is defined over the domain that consists of all sets of two candidates – one from *A* and one from *B*.

a. A voter has in mind that the candidates are lined up on a spectrum between L and R. If the two candidates are "close',' then he chooses the *A* candidate and if not then he chooses the *B* candidate. Formalize this choice function. Can all such voters be rationalized?

b. Let *C* be a voter's choice function. Define the binary relation $x \triangleright y$ if $C(\{x, y\}) = x$. Show that if \triangleright does not have a cycle of length 4 then *C* can be rationalized.

c. An economist (probably a supporter of party *A*) claims that a voter who satisfies the condition in part (b) must be an *A* supporter since it can be shown that he behaves as if he assigns a value number v(x) to each candidate *x* and adds to *x* a fixed bonus b > 0 if $x \in A$. Do you agree with this argument? **Question 3.** Let *X* be a set of *K* agents and let $P = \{1, ..., K\}$ be a set of *K* positions. Assume that $K \ge 3$. Each position is to be occupied by one agent. An assignment is a one-to-one function from *P* to *X*. (For example if $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and K = 3 then the assignment (b, c, a) assigns agent *b* to position 1, agent *c* to position 2 and agent *a* to position 3.)

Each of n referees submits a recommendation in the form of an assignment. A decision rule (DR) attaches an assignment to each possible profile of recommendations.

The following are two properties of DRs:

C: If all referees recommend that x be assigned to position k, then x is indeed assigned to k.

I: Any two profiles of recommendations that coincide with respect to their recommendations for position k assign the same agent to k.

A DR is dictatorial if there is a referee whose view is always accepted.

a. Give (no proof is required) three examples of DRs, one that satisfies C but not I, one that satisfies I but not C and one for the case of K = 2 that satisfies both C and I and is not dictatorial.

b. Prove that if a DR satisfies C and I, then it is dictatorial. The claim is true for all *n* but you only need to prove it for n = 2.